



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/681,570	05/01/2001		Scott C. Harris	LOGIN-RENEWAL/SCI	6749
23844	7590	10/17/2005		EXAMINER	
SCOTT C H			NGUYEN, MI	INH DIEU T	
SAN DIEGO		192	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2137	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under   Reexamination
	09/681,570	HARRIS, SCOTT C.
		Art Unit
	Emmanuel L. Moise	2137
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC	

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for F	Review filed September 16, 2005.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):</li> </ol>	and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other:	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to rule the mail date of the last Office communication, if no	n from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance white brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, white	terferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been e there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period chever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the d upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
<ul> <li>☑ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: 7, 18.</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-4, 6, and 8-14.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required b	
All participants:	
(1) Emmanuel L. Moise.	(3) <u>Albert Decady</u> .
(2) <u>Minh Dieu Nguyen</u> .	(4)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER